

# The Impact of Lean Construction Tools on Reduction of Contractor Related Causes of Delay in Sri Lankan Construction Industry

KAOT Kalubovila<sup>1#</sup>, WN Kawmudi<sup>2</sup>, AH Lakmal<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>B.Sc. Graduate, Department of Quantity Surveying, Faculty of Built Environment and Spatial Sciences (FBESS), General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Sri Lanka

<sup>2</sup>Lecturer, Department of Quantity Surveying, Faculty of Built Environment and Spatial Sciences (FBESS), General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Sri Lanka

<sup>3</sup>Dean, Faculty of Built Environment and Spatial Sciences (FBESS), General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Sri Lanka

# <oshinitk@gmail.com>

**Abstract**— Large rate of delay in project delivery is a major criticism faced by the Sri Lankan construction industry. Most of the responsibility for construction delays lies with the Contractor. Currently available delay mitigation strategies are not efficacious to mitigate delays attributable to Contractors. The goal of the Lean Construction approach is to increase project value by reducing waste and enhancing project performance. Utilizing Lean Construction Tools guarantees that a project is finished on time and within budget. This paper aims to identify the impact of Lean Construction Tools (5S, Last Planner System, Visual Management, First Run Studies) on reduction of Contractor related causes of delay. This was met by utilizing a mixed research methodology, which includes both the gathering of quantitative data through a questionnaire survey held among 40 professionals and the gathering of qualitative data by conducting semi-structured interviews with 8 construction industry specialists. SPSS software was used to analyze data collected from the questionnaire survey and content analysis was used to examine data obtained from the semi-structured interviews. The results revealed that implementation of Lean Construction Tools can lead to reduction of Contractor related causes of delay. Further it was disclosed that 5S is the Lean Tool that has the highest impact on reduction of Contractor related delays. Findings have also revealed that lack of awareness about Lean Construction is the most critical challenge for successful implementation of Lean Construction Tools within Sri Lanka. The results depicts that in order to overcome this barrier awareness on Lean Construction must be enhanced among construction industry professionals.

**Keywords**— Lean Construction Tools, Contractor Related Causes of Delay, Sri Lanka

## I. INTRODUCTION

In construction, delay is the period of time that elapses after the completion date specified in the contract and as mutually agreed upon by the contract's parties. Delay is one of the most reoccurring issues in the construction sector around the world. Contractor is the party who is mostly responsible for construction delays (Farooqui, 2007).

In Sri Lanka, the majority of construction projects are prone to delays and timely completion is typically rare. Although there are delay mitigation strategies, they are not effectively used in Sri Lankan construction industry (Jayalath, 2010).

The foundation for this lean approach is decreasing waste throughout the process and attempting to make tasks as simple to comprehend, initiate, and manage as feasible is the basic concept behind the term “Lean” (Ansah, 2016). Lean construction tools are designed to improve project performance by eliminating waste and increasing project value. It aids in increasing productivity, minimizing time and ultimately increasing client satisfaction (Akinradewo, 2018).

Countries such as UK, USA and Singapore have achieved sustainable benefits by implementing lean construction & it is the best time for the Sri Lankan construction industry to consider about implementing lean construction in order to improve overall performance (Thilakarathna & Senaratne, 2012). Since lean construction tools have proved its effectiveness over the past years & project delay is a common problem every Sri Lankan construction project face, instead of dealing with causes of delay an effort should be taken to facilitate the timely delivery of future construction projects in Sri Lanka (Thilakarathna & Senaratne, 2012). Gomez-Cabrera (2020), in his research has established that lean construction tools can be assigned to mitigate factors contributing to delay and has further emphasized that more than one lean tool can be used to reduce the effect of a single factor.

Adapting a large number of lean construction tools at once is not practicable and the purpose of all lean tools is not reducing time overrun. Therefore, 4 lean construction tools which can be adapted in order to mitigate delays have been chosen for the study. Since Contractor is the party who is mostly responsible for construction delays, through this paper mitigating causes of delay which the Contractor has

control by adapting lean construction tools have been addressed.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

### A. Contractor Related Causes of Delay

A research conducted on construction project delays in Florida State in USA by Sayad (2002) shows various stakeholders are responsible for the overall project delay in the following ways; Contractor = 44%, Owner = 24%, Government = 14%, Shared (between Owner & Contractor) = 12% and Consultant = 6%.

In a study carried out by Farooqui (2007) on delays within Pakistan construction industry depicts that several stakeholders are accountable for the entire project delay in the following manner; Contractor = 48.75%, Consultant = 17.5%, Owner = 16.25%, Government = 8.75%, Shared = 8.75%.

According to above researches it is obvious that Contractor is the party who is mostly responsible for construction delays. Therefore, taking an endeavor on mitigation of Contractor related delays can significantly effect on reducing construction delays.

There are many researches held in different countries in order to identify Contractor related causes of delay. Poor site management, poor communication and coordination & ineffective project planning are the common causes for Contractor related delay in Indian construction industry (Das & Emuze, 2017). According to a research done in Sri Lanka by Kesavan (2015) it was highlighted that ineffective project planning and scheduling is the most prominent cause for Contractor related delays in construction industry in Sri Lanka.

In a research done by in Malaysia by Yap (2021), he has established that rework due to errors in construction and low productivity of labour are among the most common causes of Contractor related causes of delay in Malaysian construction industry. Rework due to errors in construction is the most significant cause of delays attributable to Contractors in Sri Lankan construction industry (Jayasinghe, 2019).

According to Ibrinke (2013) resource shortage and inadequate cash flow management are the common causes for Contractor related causes of delay in Nigerian construction industry. Sivarajah (2021) highlighted that due to the current economic crisis in Sri Lanka, resource shortage (material, labor, machinery and equipment) is the most common reason for Contractor's inability to complete the project within agreed period of time.

### B. Contractor Related Delay Mitigation Strategies

Tharsan (2020), in his research has mentioned that the majority of earlier studies revealed measures to mitigate delays in construction industry, but they are common strategies and further he has identified 15 applicable mitigation strategies in Sri Lankan construction context to mitigate contractor's causes of delays. Dolage (2015), have identified 10 mitigation measures and Kesavan (2015) have established 7 delay mitigation strategies to mitigate Contractor related delays occurred in Sri Lankan construction industry.

According to above mentioned literature, identified delay mitigation strategies to mitigate Contractor related delay are; effective project planning and scheduling, maintain positive financial stability, well qualified and experienced professionals and staffs, risk analysis and management, selection of suitable Sub-Contractor, effective site management and supervision, collaborative working environment in construction, proper material procurement, continuous monitoring of construction site and effective human resource management.

### C. Lean Construction Tools that Can be Adapted to Mitigate Contractor Related Causes of Delay

Lean construction tools attempt to improve delivery systems and procedures by eliminating waste, promoting productivity, enhancing health and safety and satisfying customer expectations (Anash, 2016).

1) 5S: 5S is a fundamental lean tool to maintain work flow efficiently. The 5S process consists of five steps that can assist a workplace get rid of waste and improve process efficiency. The 5 S's of lean are Sort (Seiri), Set in Order (Seiton), Shine (Seiso), Standardize (Seiketsu), and Sustain (Shitsuke) (Salem, 2014).

The principal component of 5S is 'Sort' (Organizing). Simply, it is the the efficient use of available space. Sort can advantageous in reducing the searching time for a material/document. The main aim of Set in Order of 5S is to provide an efficient workspace by defining a designated storage area. It helps in preventing material and document mismanagement. Shine in 5S signifies cleaning. It contain a thorough examination of the workplace, increased cleanliness and the creation of an ideal working environment for employees. Standardize means creating a consistent approach for performing tasks and procedures by establishing the procedure for maintaining the first three pillars. Sustain implies maintaining & implementing all the above mentioned 4 components and making it a practice to follow and maintain the appropriate processes (Ghule, 2020).

2) Last Planner System: The Last Planner System (LPS) is the most well-known tool in lean construction (Akinradewo, 2018). LPS is a planning method and it helps to reduce workflow unpredictability. Porwal (2014) in his research

has identified that pros of LPS are improved construction planning, better site management, better communication and greater collaboration amongst the stakeholders.

The LPS has five steps in its planning process: master scheduling, phase scheduling (pull planning), look ahead planning, weekly work planning and percentage plan complete (PPC). Master schedule is the complete project timetable with milestones. The phase schedule which is developed by the teams working on every phase, is more informative when compared to the master schedule. It must be ready at least six weeks before the number one activity (Akinradewo, 2018). Look ahead plan organizes the workflow in the best possible order. Weekly work plan meetings address quality concerns, safety concerns, the weekly schedule, material demand and construction procedures. PPC assess the number of the team's weekly assignments that were finished on time (Porwal, 2010).

3) *Visual Management*: The primary goals of visual management are to promote the widespread flow of information within the workplace and to reduce obstacles to that circulation of information. By providing access to information to all project stakeholders, visual management increases organizational transparency. It presents information via visual signs rather than words, making it easier for all project stakeholders to understand (Singh, 2021).

Visual management boards with all the pertinent information updated are kept in the center of the workplace. Numerous visual management methods and tools are available such as organizational chart, gantt chart, value stream maps, kanban boards and project performance chart (Tezel, 2017). One of the most crucial concepts in visual management is the "Big Room," where all project related information is exhibited via display boards, diagrams, and color coding (Singh, 2021). Every day construction professionals gather for a daily meeting which is around 15 minute meetings in the big room, where they use various visual tools on display to address issues present at the site.

4) *First Run Studies*: A first run study is a trial execution of a procedure with the aim of determining the most appropriate methods, strategies and sequencing for the process to be carried out. They are applied to redesign crucial assignments (Salem, 2005). It is a part of the effort to continuously improve; these attempts consist of productivity studies and work method reviews by restructuring and simplifying the various tasks involved. These studies frequently use photos, video clips, and graphics (3D illustration) to demonstrate a process or provide work instructions (Alarcon, 1997). The assignment chosen for the first run study should be thoroughly explored, offering recommendations and ideas to examine alternate

methodologies for carrying out the activity. A PDCA cycle (Plan, Do, Check, Act) is recommended to develop the study (Alarcon, 1997).

The objective is to carefully plan and investigate first runs of crucial tasks, using previous studies as a guide and developing standard work procedure designs for the project. The cost, errors, and accidents are reduced because of this experimental strategy, which develops a tested method that all crews can learn. It will be simple to test out new work process designs, new technology and tools, as well as various crew combinations, etc...once these studies become a routine part of the organization (Alarcon, 1997). A key component of first run studies is the integration of all performance criteria into work process design, with safety being prioritized over quality, time, and cost. Plan as well as it can analyze actual results to distinguish between plan quality and plan execution defects, allowing each to be addressed and improved.

### III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research study is targeted to identify the impact of lean construction tools on reduction of Contractor related causes of delay in construction industry in Sri Lanka. The entire research was appraised through questionnaire surveys and interviews held among construction industry professionals in Sri Lanka. This was a combination of both qualitative (interviews) and quantitative (questionnaire survey) analysis.

#### A. Data Collection Methods

A detailed questionnaire was circulated across the professional groups within Sri Lankan construction sector. A web based questionnaire (Google forms) was designed and distributed via E mail and social media to the construction industry professionals who are actively employed in the industry. The respondents were asked to rank the different viewpoints accordingly to a "Likert Scale". The questionnaires were distributed among 40 number of professionals (selected through stratified random sampling method) from various disciplines including Project Managers , Construction Managers , Engineers , Quantity Surveyors and Architects because based on the perspective of different people the answers to the questions may vary due to their thinking capacity, knowledge & based on the industry experience. Out of them 35 responses were received, reflecting 87.5% response rate.

To attain the aim of this study, semi structured interviews were conducted using open ended questions. The related areas can be broadly discussed by conducting semi structured interviews (Sadan, 2014). The interviewees were chosen by employing the purposive sampling method.

**B. Conceptual Framework**

The overall research methodology is based on this conceptual framework as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Conceptual Framework

Independent Variables (IV) are identified to determine the effect of each one of them against the Dependent Variable (DV) of the study. By considering the conceptual framework, assumptions has been developed. For the purpose of examining the agreement among the respondents on reduction of Contractor related causes of delay by adapting lean construction tools, the hypothesis were developed as following.

- HA<sub>1</sub>: There is a relationship between 5S and Reduce Contractor related causes of delay.
- HB<sub>1</sub>: There is a relationship between Last Planner System and Reduce Contractor related causes of delay.
- HC<sub>1</sub>: There is a relationship between Visual Management and Reduce Contractor related causes of delay.
- HD<sub>1</sub>: There is a relationship between First Run Studies and Reduce Contractor related causes of delay.

**C. Data Analysis Methods**

To check the hypothesis of the study, statistical data analysis was used. The establishment of the relationship between the independent and dependent variables were conducted through Correlation Analysis. The regression analysis was utilized to assess the influence or impact of the independent variables on dependent variable. This analysis was carried out using the SPSS software, which provides a diverse set of formulas and statistical techniques. Data obtained through semi structured interviews was analysed by using content analysis. Representation of data was done in the form of tables.

**IV. DATA ANALYSIS**

It was investigated whether the professionals had experienced Contractor related delay. More than 90% of respondents had Contractor related delay on construction

projects, highlighting it as a severe issue in the construction sector and the need for efforts to mitigate Contractor related delay in projects.




Figure 2: Experience in Contractor Related Delay

**A. Correlation Analysis**

The data obtained from questionnaire which was in the form of likert scale were analyzed using SPSS software to verify the relationship between the IV and DV.

The ‘‘Pearson Correlation Coefficient’’ was applied by using the SPSS software in this study, because it offers an indicator of linear relationships between two variables that range from -1 to +1. When two variables are positively correlated, it implies that when one variable’s value increases, value of the other variable also increases. When two variables are negatively correlated, it denotes that as one variable’s value rises, the value of the other variable drops. Relying on the significance value (P), the correlation coefficient will be satisfied. If  $P < 0.05$ , the DV has a relationship with particular IV and If  $P \geq 0.05$ , the DV doesn’t have a relationship with particular IV.

Table 1: Correlation Analysis (SPSS Generated)

		Correlations				
		AVGA	AVGB	AVGC	AVGD	AVGE
AVGA	Frequency: 200 (202)	1	.388	.200	.347	.473
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.037	.290	.002	.004
	N	20	20	20	20	20
AVGB	Frequency: 200 (202)	.006	1	.687	.159	.807
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.987		.002	.333	.010
	N	20	20	20	20	20
AVGC	Frequency: 200 (202)	-.000	.337	1	.274	.444
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.990	.032		.111	.002
	N	20	20	20	20	20
AVGD	Frequency: 200 (202)	.687	-.134	.274	1	.305
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.002	.333	.111		.022
	N	20	20	20	20	20
AVGE	Frequency: 200 (202)	.473	.807	.444	.305	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.004	.002	.002	.022	
	N	20	20	20	20	20

\*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).  
\* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Where,

- N = No of Respondents
- AVGA = Average of 5S
- AVGB = Average of Last Planner System
- AVGC = Average of Visual Management
- AVGD = Average of First Run Studies
- AVGE = Average of Reduce Contractor related causes of

delay

Table 1 depicts the results of the correlation analysis. Since the Pearson correlation of all variables range from -1 to +1 and  $P < 0.05$ , it was proved that all the identified IV (5S, Last Planner System, Visual Management and First Run Studies) have a positive relationship with the DV (Reduce Contractor related causes of delay).

### B. Regression Analysis

This was conducted in order to ascertain which independent variable has the maximum impact on the dependent variable. The identical set of data retrieved through questionnaire which utilized to the correlation analysis were analyzed in regression analysis by using SPSS software.

Table 2: Model Summary of Regression Analysis

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.884 <sup>a</sup>	.782	.753	181

a. Predictors: (Constant), AV3C, AV3A, AV3E, AV3D

As per the Table 2, the predicted  $R^2$  of the model is displayed as 0.782. This value reflects the extent of relationship between lean construction tools and the reduction of Contractor-related causes of delay, and it is approximately 78.2%.

Table 3: Regression Analysis (SPSS Generated)

Coefficients <sup>a</sup>					
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficient	Sig.
		B	Std. Error		
1	(Constant)	-.574	110		.470
	AV3A	.345	126	.343	.022
	AV3B	.342	126	.340	.022
	AV3C	.325	118	.315	.022
	AV3E	.215	116	.205	.024

a. Dependent Variable: AV3D

The unstandardized  $\beta$  value or coefficients of the regression portray the percentage of reduction in Contractor related causes of delay (DV) reflected by lean construction tools (IV).

The relationship of the above regression model can be explained as mentioned below.

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_3X_3 + \beta_4X_4 + \text{Std.E}$$

Equation 1: Linear Regression

$$Y = -0.659 + (0.345*AV3A) + (0.340*AV3B) + (0.325*AV3C) + (0.215*AV3E) + \text{Std.E}$$

Equation 2: Linear regression (Based on SPSS generated data)

According to Table 3, it depicts that the reduction of Contractor related causes of delay increases by 34.5% with the increase of properly implementing 5S, reduction of Contractor related causes of delay increases by 34% with the increase of properly implementing Last Planner System, reduction of Contractor related causes of delay increases by 32.5% with the increase of properly implementing Visual Management and the reduction of Contractor related causes of delay increases by 21.5% with the increase of properly implementing First Run Studies.

## V. CONCLUSION

The study was conducted to investigate the impact of lean construction tools on reduction of Contractor related causes of delay. As per the conducted Correlation Analysis, all the mentioned hypotheses were satisfied indicating that there is a positive relationship between lean construction tools and reduction of Contractor related causes of delay. According to the Regression Analysis, 5S is the lean tool that has the highest impact on reduction of Contractor related delays.

Through the interviews it was revealed that there are barriers to implement lean construction tools within Sri Lankan context. Identified critical implementation barriers were lack of awareness on lean construction, lack of technical knowledge and initiative in industry professionals, reluctance to adapt to new methodology, lack of agreed implementation framework and lack of government support.

## VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations for the successful implementation of lean tools were identified through the responses of the conducted interviews. Conducting awareness programs on lean construction, test the lean construction tools for a short period project and increase team work are short term recommendations to overcome implementation barriers of lean construction tools.

Provide proper education and training on lean construction, design a proper implementation framework, carry out a case study to identify benefits of lean construction and establish a government institute for lean construction in Sri Lanka are long term recommendations for successful implementation of lean construction tools within Sri Lanka.

## VII. RESEARCH LIMITATIONS & FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

This research was limited to the delays in Sri Lankan construction industry and Contractor related causes of delay. This research was limited to the lean construction tools considered with delay. This study was limited to lack

of expertise available in the Sri Lankan context regarding lean construction. Further this was limited to lack of knowledge of interviewees on lean construction and lack of time availability. The proposed recommendations stated in this research are as per the opinion and experience of the professionals in the industry.

In future one can develop a framework to implement lean construction tools within Sri Lankan context. Further one can concern on finding the impact of lean construction tools on reducing cost overrun of construction projects in Sri Lanka. One can undertake a case study on implementing lean construction tools as a solution to mitigate Contractor related causes of delay in construction industry in Sri Lanka.

#### REFERENCES

- Akinradewo, O. I., Oke, A. E., Aigbavboa, C. O., & Ndalamba, M. (2018). Benefits of adopting lean construction technique in the South African construction industry. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, 2018*(NOV), 1271–1277.
- Alarcon, I. (1997). *LEAN CONSTRUCTION*.
- Ansah, R. H., Sorooshian, S., Mustafa, S. Bin, & Duvvuru, G. (2016). Lean construction tools. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, 784–793*.
- Das, D. K., & Emuze, F. (2017). A dynamic model of contractor-induced delays in India. *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries, 22*(1), 21–39.
- Farooqui, R. U., Ahmed, S. M., & Saqib, M. (2007). Delays in Construction – An Empirical Study of Contractors’ Perceptions in Pakistan Construction Industry. *4th International Conference on Construction in the 21st Century (CITC-IV) “Accelerating Innovation in Engineering, Management and Technology”*, July 11 -13, July, 256–270.
- Ghule, G. (2020). Delay & Cost Overrun in Construction Projects: Implementation of Lean Techniques for minimizing the risks on Time & Cost. *Theseus*.
- Gómez-Cabrera, A., Salazar, L. A., Ponz-Tienda, J. L., & Alarcón, L. F. (2020). Lean tools proposal to mitigate delays and cost overruns in construction projects. *IGLC 28 - 28th Annual Conference of the International Group for Lean Construction 2020, July*, 769–781.
- Ibironke, O. T., Oladinrin, T. O., Adeniyi, O., & Eboreime, I. V. (2013). Analysis of non-excusable delay factors influencing contractors’ performance in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries, 18*(1), 53–72.
- Jayalath, D. S. K. U. (2010). the Most Significant Causes of Delay in Construction in Sri Lanka.
- Jayasinghe, J. G. (2019). Management of Delays Incurred by Contractors in Road Construction Projects in Sri Lanka. Rathmalana: University of Vocational Technology.
- Porwal, V., Fernández-Solís, J., Lavy, S., & Rybkowski, Z. K. (2010). Last planner system implementation challenges. Challenging Lean Construction Thinking: What Do We Think and What Do We Know? - 18th Annual Conference of the International Group for Lean Construction, IGLC 18, May 2016, 548–556.

- Salem, O., Solomon, J., Genaidy, A., & Luegring, M. (2005). Site implementation and assessment of lean construction techniques. *Lean Construction Journal, 2*(2), 1–21.
- Singh, S., & Kumar, K. (2021). A study of lean construction and visual management tools through cluster analysis. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal, 12*(1), 1153–1162.
- Sivarajah, T. (2021). Construction Projects delays in Sri Lanka. *J. Res. Technol. Eng, 2*(4), 25–29.
- Tharsan, P., Devapriya, K. A. K., & Gowsiga, M. (2021). Mitigation Approach for Significant Causes of Contractor’s Delays in Construction Projects in Sri Lanka. *Proceedings of International Conference on Business Management, 17*(July).
- Thilakarathna, N., & Senaratne, S. (2012). Literature Review on Lean Implementation Cases in the Construction Process. *FARU International Research Symposium, Sri Lanka*, 147–162.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude towards all individuals and organizations who guided me throughout the whole time period for their assistant and guidance to the betterment of the research.

#### AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES



Miss. K.A. Oshini Tharushika Kalubovila is a Graduate of B.Sc (Hons) in Quantity Surveying at General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University (KDU).



Miss. W. Nethmi Kawmudi, is a Lecturer attached to the Department of Quantity Surveying of the Faculty of Built Environment & Spatial Sciences. She holds a degree in Quantity Surveying from the General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University (KDU). Her research interests include Green buildings, Risk Management, Sustainable Construction and Cost Management.



Dr. Harinda Lakmal, is the Dean of the Faculty of Built Environment & Spatial Sciences. He is also a Senior Lecturer attached to the Department of Civil Engineering. He holds a Doctor of Philosophy: School of Geomatics, Liaoning Technical University, People's Republic of China. He has authored a number of research articles in his field of study and his research interests include Spatio-Temporal Process Modelling, Location Based Services (LBS), Microwave Remote Sensing, Light Detection And Ranging (LIDAR) Remote Sensing, Generalization in Military Mapping, UAV utilizations for Aerial Mapping.